Supplementary appendix

The potential effects of widespread community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the World Health Organization African Region: a predictive model

1. Background

This supplement provides additional information to enable persons that would want to replicate the process leading to the results to do so. It highlights how the methods explained in the paper have been implemented using the data, eventually leading to the results. It follows a logical approach from the raw data to the eventual published results. It is meant to also facilitate development of similar analyses, for example where the rate of transmission is expected to be markedly different across a country, or across other groups of countries or regions to replicate a similar line of analysis.

The data used for each country is publicly available. There was no primary data collection done for the purpose of this analysis. To ensure the uniformity, same sources were used for the data from each country.

Data on household size¹, number of children in primary school per capita², number of children in secondary school per capita³, proportion of population living in urban areas⁴, proportion of urban population living in slums⁵, number of motor vehicles per 1000 population⁶, country road network size⁷, prevalence of HIV (15-24 years)⁸, prevalence of diabetes (% of population 20-79 years)⁹, % of total population of 65 years¹⁰, % of population using at least basic sanitation services¹¹ and annual average precipitation in depth (mm/year)¹² was used in calculation of the various factors of the *RoE*.

¹ Household Size and Composition around the world. UN Population-Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2017. https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/household_size_and_composition_around_the_world_2017_data_booklet.pdf (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

² Primary education, pupils | Data. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SE.PRM.ENRL (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

³ Secondary education, pupils | World Bank Open Data. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SE.SEC.ENRL (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

⁴ Urban population | World Bank Open Data. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.URB.TOTL (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

⁵ Slum Almanac 2015-2016. UN Habitat https://unhabitat.org/sites/default/files/download-manager-

files/Slum%20Almanac%202015-2016_PSUP.pdf (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

⁶ Our World in Data-Motor vehicle ownership, per 1000 inhabitants, 2014- University of Oxford-Martin Programme of Global Development. https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/motor-vehicle-ownership-per-1000-inhabitants (accessed 20 Apr. 2020)

Apr 2020).

The International Road Federation-Better Road Data for Better Policies. https://www.irf.global/statistics/ (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

⁸WHO Global Health Observatory data repository | Prevalence of HIV among adults aged 15 to 49 - Estimates by country. WHO. https://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.622?lang%E2%80%99=%E2%80%99en (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

⁹ Diabetes prevalence (% of population ages 20 to 79) | World Bank Open Data.

https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.STA.DIAB.ZS (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

¹⁰ United Nations Population Division - World Population Prospects. https://population.un.org/wpp/DataQuery/ (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

People using at least basic sanitation services (% of population) | World Bank Open Data.

https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.STA.BASS.ZS (accessed 20 Apr 2020).

¹² Average precipitation in depth (mm per year) | World Bank Open Data. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.LND.PRCP.MM (accessed 21 May 2020).

A discussion on the methods, assumptions, and other attributes are done in the main paper. The transmission dynamics follow the traditional SEIR model with some modifications to cater for observed transmission and outcomes relating to SARS-CoV-2 infection namely definition of a risk of exposure to adjust the attack rate and define the rate of infection, and a vulnerability adjustment factor to adjust the probability of disease severities.

The Risk of Exposure was considered necessary based on social, environmental and behavioral factors influencing the rate of transmission. Four factors were used to derive this:

- 1. "The gathering factor" as a measure of the extent to which congregating of people affects the transmission of SARS-Cov-2;
- 2. "The distribution factor" as a measure of the extent to which the movement or ease of travel of people facilitates the transmission of SARS-Cov-2;
- 3. "The hygiene factor" as a measure of the extent to which personal habits and hygiene practices influence the transmission of SARS-Cov-2; and
- 4. "The weather factor" as a measure of the influence of whether on the transmission of SARS-Cov-2.

On the other hand, the indicators used to estimate the vulnerability adjustment factor were:

- 1) Proportion of the population aged over 65 years,
- 2) Proportion of the population aged 15 49 years that are HIV positive, and
- 3) Proportion of the population with diabetes

The rationale for each of these broad factors and sources from which the data was obtained have been described. The specific indicator values for each country used in the calculation are shown in supplementary table 1.

Supplementary table 1: Values for each indicator used in the Risk of Exposure, and vulnerability adjustment factor, by Country

								Weather			Hygiene			
					Gathering fac	tor		factor	Distribution	n factor	factor	Vulnerabi	lity adjustmen	t factor
											People using			
							Population				at least basic			
		Total	_	Children in	Children in	Proportion of	living in		Road		sanitation	Population	HIV	
		population	Average	school	school	population	slums (% of urban	Drosinitation	network	Vehicles / 1,000	services (% of	ages 65 and above (% of	Prevalence	Diabatas
	Country	(2019)	house- hold size	(primary) per capita	(secondary) per capita	living in urban areas	population)	Precipitation (mm/year)	connectivity per sq km	population	population)	total)	(%population ages 15-49)	Diabetes Prevalence
1	Algeria	42,228,429	5.9	0.10	0.04	72.63	0.59	89	0.05	140	0.88	6.211	0.05	0.07
2	Angola	30,809,762	4.6	0.18	0.07	65.51	0.56	1010	0.04	32	0.50	2.405	2.00	0.05
3	Benin	11,485,048	5	0.19	0.09	47.31	0.62	1039	0.16	24	0.16	3.244	1.00	0.01
4	Botswana	2,254,126	3.7	0.15	0.04	69.45	0.59	416	0.03	177	0.16	3.941	20.30	0.06
5	Burkina Faso	19,751,535	5.7	0.16	0.06	29.36	0.66	748	0.04	16	0.19	2.409	0.70	0.07
6	Burundi	11,175,378	4.8	0.19	0.06	13.03	0.58	1274	1.73	6	0.46	2.562	1.00	0.05
7	Cabo Verde	543,767	4.2	0.12	0.10	65.73	0.59	228	0.33	101	0.74	4.460	0.60	0.02
8	Cameroon	25,216,237	5.2	0.17	0.09	56.37	0.38	1604	0.00	15	0.39	3.165	3.60	0.06
9	Central African Republic	4,666,377	4.9	0.17	0.03	41.36	0.93	1343	0.03	4	0.25	3.655	3.60	0.06
10	Chad	15,477,751	5.8	0.08	0.03	23.06	0.88	322	0.03	6	0.08	2.486	1.30	0.06
11	Comoros	832,322	5.4	0.15	0.09	28.97	0.70	900	0.47	33	0.36	2.893	0.10	0.12
12	Congo, Dem. Rep.	84,068,091	5.3	0.16	0.04	44.46	0.75	1543	0.07	25	0.20	3.020	0.80	0.06
13	Congo, Rep.	5,244,363	4.3	0.08	0.04	66.92	0.47	1646	0.05	27	0.20	3.402	2.60	0.06
14	Cote d'Ivoire	25,069,229	5.4	0.16	0.08	50.78	0.56	1348	0.26	41	0.32	2.933	2.60	0.02
15	Equatorial Guinea	1,308,974	4.7	0.07	0.04	72.14	0.66	2156	0.10	13	0.66	2.846	7.10	0.06
16	Eritrea	4,475,000	4.8	0.08	0.06	41.30	0.59	384	0.04	11	0.12	2.846	0.70	0.05
17	Eswatini	1,136,191	4.7	0.08	0.10	23.80	0.33	788	0.21	89	0.58	3.163	27.30	0.05
18	Ethiopia	109,224,559	4.6	0.08	0.04	20.76	0.74	848	0.11	9	0.07	3.526	1.00	0.04
19	Gabon	2,119,275	4.1	0.08	0.04	89.37	0.37	1831	0.04	14	0.47	4.450	3.80	0.06
20	Gambia, The	2,280,102	8.2	0.15	0.04	61.27	0.35	836	0.37	7	0.39	2.339	1.90	0.02
21	Ghana	29,767,108	3.5	0.15	0.10	56.06	0.38	1187	0.48	32	0.18	3.385	1.70	0.03
22	Guinea	12,414,318	7.2	0.08	0.04	36.14	0.43	1651	0.18	5	0.23	3.135	1.40	0.02
23	Guinea-Bissau	1,874,309	8.3	0.08	0.04	43.36	0.82	1577	0.12	33	0.21	3.002	3.50	0.02
24	Kenya	51,393,010	3.9	0.08	0.04	27.03	0.56	630	0.28	29	0.29	2.686	4.70	0.03
25	Lesotho	2,108,132	3.3	0.08	0.06	28.15	0.51	788	0.19	4	0.43	4.506	23.60	0.05
26	Liberia	4,818,977	5	0.08	0.04	51.15	0.66	2391	0.11	14	0.17	3.057	1.30	0.02
27	Madagascar	26,262,368	4.7	0.19	0.06	37.19	0.77	1513	0.04	27	0.11	2.929	0.30	0.05
28	Malawi	18,143,315	4.5	0.24	0.06	16.94	0.67	1181	0.16	8	0.26	3.552	9.20	0.05
29	Mali	19,077,690	5.7	0.13	0.05	42.36	0.56	282	0.02	12	0.39	3.158	1.40	0.02

^{3 |} Page

								Weather			Hygiene			
					Gathering fact	tor		factor	Distribution factor		factor	Vulnerability adjustment factor		t factor
											People using			
							Population				at least basic			
		Total		Children in	Children in	Proportion of	living in		Road		sanitation	Population	HIV	
		population	Average	school	school	population	slums (% of	Danainitation	network	Vehicles /	services (% of	ages 65 and	Prevalence	Diabetes
	Country	(2019)	house- hold size	(primary) per capita	(secondary) per capita	living in urban areas	urban population)	Precipitation (mm/year)	connectivity per sq km	1,000 population	population)	above (% of total)	(%population ages 15-49)	Prevalence
30	Mauritania	4,403,319	6.1	0.15	0.05	53.67	0.80	92	0.01	10	0.48	10.945	0.20	0.07
31	Mauritius	1,265,303	3.5	0.07	0.10	40.79	0.59	2041	1.06	192	0.96	2.979	1.30	0.22
32	Mozambigue	29,495,962	4.4	0.22	0.04	35.99	0.80	1032	0.04	14	0.29	3.138	12.60	0.03
33	Namibia	2,448,255	4.4	0.20	0.04	50.03	0.33	285	0.05	106	0.35	9.954	11.80	0.05
34	Niger	22,442,948	7.1	0.08	0.04	16.43	0.70	151	0.01	7	0.14	2.553	0.30	0.02
35	Nigeria	195,874,740	4.6	0.08	0.05	50.34	0.50	1150	0.21	64	0.39	2.751	1.50	0.03
36	Rwanda	12,301,939	4.3	0.20	0.05	17.21	0.53	1212	0.19	5	0.67	2.974	2.50	0.05
37	Sao Tome and Principe	211,028	4	0.08	0.12	72.80	0.87	3200	0.33	2	0.43	2.886	0.05	0.02
38	Senegal	15,854,360	8.3	0.14	0.07	47.19	0.39	686	0.07	44	0.51	3.008	0.40	0.02
39	Seychelles	96,762	3.8	0.10	0.08	56.69	0.59	2330	1.10	176	1.00	8.606	0.05	0.12
40	Sierra Leone	7,650,154	5.6	0.18	0.06	42.06	0.76	2526	0.16	6	0.16	2.538	1.50	0.02
41	South Africa	57,779,622	3.2	0.08	0.09	66.36	0.23	495	0.62	174	0.76	5.344	20.40	0.13
42	South Sudan	10,975,920	5.9	0.08	0.04	19.62	0.96	900	ND	4	0.11	3.441	2.50	0.10
43	Tanzania	56,318,348	4.9	0.18	0.04	33.78	0.51	1071	0.10	7	0.30	3.108	4.60	0.06
44	Togo	7,889,094	4.6	0.20	0.09	41.70	0.51	1168	0.22	27	0.16	2.839	2.30	0.02
45	Uganda	42,723,139	4.7	0.08	0.04	23.77	0.54	1180	0.65	12	0.18	2.168	5.70	0.03
46	Zambia	17,351,822	5.1	0.08	0.04	43.52	0.54	1020	0.05	23	0.26	2.480	11.30	0.05
47	Zimbabwe	14,439,018	4.1	0.18	0.04	32.21	0.25	657	0.25	60	0.36	2.822	12.70	0.02

2. Deriving the factors constituting the risk of exposure

The different indicators used have different units of measurement, making it difficult to consolidate them. We therefore first normalized all the indicators into a uniform scale. To bound the indicators between 0 and 1, and make them interpretable without their natural units of measurements, the normalized values were calculated using the formula:

$$X' = \frac{(x_i - x_{Minimum})}{(x_{Maximum} - x_{Minimum})}$$

Where X is the value of the indicator for a given country and x_i is the actual indicator value. X $_{maximum}$ represents the highest value from the indicator set and X $_{minimum}$ the lowest value. The normalized values for all the indicators is shown in supplementary table 2.

Two factors – gathering and distribution – were constituted from multiple indicators while the other two – hygiene and distribution – were from single indicators.

The gathering factor was calculated as follows:

For example, Kenya has an average household size of 3.9 (normalized as 0.137); primary school children per capita of 0.08 (normalized as 0.058); secondary school children per capita of 0.04 (normalized as 0.085) + proportion of population living in urban areas being 27.03 out of 100; and 56 out of 100 people in urban area living in slums. Thus, Gathering factor for Kenya = (0.137+0.058+0.085+0.2703+0.56)/5 = 0.222

This computation was applied for all countries to generate their specific gathering factors.

The calculation of the distribution factor followed a similar approach, using the indicators for the distribution factor.

$$Distribution\ factor = \frac{(\text{normalized roadwork per sq.Km + normalized vehicle density per 1000 population})}{2}$$

In Kenya for example, the road network of 0.28 per sq Km is (normalized as 0.164) and the vehicles per 1,000 population is 29 (normalized as 0.142). Therefore, the distribution factor for Kenya was given as (0.164 + 0.142)/2 = 0.153. This procedure was implemented for all countries.

Table 2: Normalized values for the indicators constituting the risk of exposure

	Tuble 2. Norman				ng factor			Weather factor	Dis	stribution fa	actor	Hygiene factor
	Country	Average house-hold size	Children in school per capita	Children in school (secondary) per capita	Proportion of population living in urban areas	Population living in slums (% of urban population)	AVERAGE VALUE	Precipitation (mm/year)	Road network connectivit y per sq km	Vehicles / 1,000 popn	AVERAGE VALUE	People using at least basic sanitation services (% of population)
1	Algeria	0.529	0.196	0.085	0.588	0.726	0.425	0.332	0.028	0.726	0.377	0.876
2	Angola	0.275	0.641	0.392	0.555	0.655	0.504	0.296	0.024	0.158	0.091	0.499
3	Benin	0.353	0.706	0.612	0.615	0.473	0.552	0.305	0.092	0.116	0.104	0.165
4	Botswana	0.098	0.472	0.085	0.588	0.694	0.387	0.105	0.016	0.921	0.468	0.165
5	Burkina Faso	0.490	0.526	0.379	0.658	0.294	0.469	0.212	0.025	0.074	0.049	0.194
6	Burundi	0.314	0.709	0.331	0.579	0.130	0.413	0.381	1.000	0.021	0.511	0.458
7	Cabo Verde	0.196	0.271	0.737	0.588	0.657	0.490	0.045	0.194	0.521	0.357	0.739
8	Cameroon	0.392	0.551	0.623	0.378	0.564	0.501	0.487	0.001	0.068	0.035	0.391
9	Central African Republic	0.333	0.595	0.000	0.933	0.414	0.569	0.403	0.019	0.011	0.015	0.253
10	Chad	0.510	0.058	0.054	0.882	0.231	0.347	0.075	0.018	0.021	0.020	0.083
11	Comoros	0.431	0.451	0.634	0.696	0.290	0.500	0.261	0.274	0.163	0.218	0.359
12	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.412	0.534	0.085	0.748	0.445	0.445	0.467	0.039	0.121	0.080	0.205
13	Congo, Rep.	0.216	0.058	0.085	0.469	0.669	0.299	0.500	0.029	0.132	0.080	0.202
14	Cote d'Ivoire	0.431	0.487	0.557	0.560	0.508	0.509	0.405	0.149	0.205	0.177	0.321
15	Equatorial Guinea	0.294	0.003	0.085	0.662	0.721	0.353	0.664	0.059	0.058	0.059	0.663
16	Eritrea	0.314	0.042	0.308	0.588	0.431	0.336	0.095	0.023	0.047	0.035	0.119
17	Eswatini	0.294	0.058	0.707	0.327	0.238	0.325	0.225	0.121	0.458	0.289	0.584
18	Ethiopia	0.275	0.058	0.085	0.739	0.208	0.273	0.244	0.064	0.037	0.050	0.073
19	Gabon	0.176	0.058	0.085	0.370	0.894	0.317	0.560	0.021	0.063	0.042	0.474
20	Gambia, The	0.980	0.476	0.085	0.348	0.613	0.500	0.240	0.214	0.026	0.120	0.392
21	Ghana	0.059	0.471	0.712	0.379	0.561	0.436	0.353	0.279	0.158	0.218	0.185
22	Guinea	0.784	0.058	0.085	0.433	0.361	0.344	0.502	0.104	0.016	0.060	0.227
23	Guinea-Bissau	0.980	0.058	0.085	0.823	0.434	0.476	0.478	0.071	0.163	0.117	0.205
24	Kenya	0.137	0.058	0.085	0.560	0.270	0.222	0.174	0.164	0.142	0.153	0.291
25	Lesotho	0.020	0.058	0.378	0.508	0.282	0.249	0.225	0.107	0.011	0.059	0.428
26	Liberia	0.353	0.058	0.085	0.657	0.512	0.333	0.740	0.064	0.063	0.063	0.170
27	Madagascar	0.294	0.657	0.316	0.772	0.372	0.482	0.458	0.021	0.132	0.076	0.105
28	Malawi	0.255	1.000	0.299	0.667	0.169	0.478	0.351	0.095	0.032	0.063	0.262
29	Mali	0.490	0.339	0.272	0.563	0.424	0.418	0.062	0.011	0.053	0.032	0.393
30	Mauritania	0.569	0.448	0.260	0.799	0.537	0.523	0.001	0.006	0.042	0.024	0.484

^{6 |} Page

				Gatheri	ng factor			Weather factor	Dis	stribution fa	ctor	Hygiene factor
				Children in	Proportion of	Population	AVERAGE		Road		AVERAGE	
		Average	Children in	school	population	living in slums	VALUE		network	Vehicles	VALUE	People using at least basic
		house-hold	school per	(secondary)	living in	(% of urban		Precipitation	connectivit	/ 1,000		sanitation services (% of
	Country	size	capita	per capita	urban areas	population)		(mm/year)	y per sq km	popn		population)
31	Mauritius	0.059	0.000	0.722	0.588	0.408	0.444	0.627	0.613	0.905	0.759	0.955
32	Mozambique	0.235	0.872	0.126	0.803	0.360	0.479	0.303	0.024	0.063	0.043	0.294
33	Namibia	0.235	0.745	0.085	0.332	0.500	0.380	0.063	0.031	0.547	0.289	0.345
34	Niger	0.765	0.058	0.059	0.701	0.164	0.349	0.020	0.009	0.026	0.017	0.136
35	Nigeria	0.275	0.058	0.248	0.502	0.503	0.317	0.341	0.123	0.326	0.225	0.392
36	Rwanda	0.216	0.763	0.258	0.532	0.172	0.388	0.361	0.110	0.016	0.063	0.666
37	Sao Tome and Principe	0.157	0.058	1.000	0.866	0.728	0.562	1.000	0.193	0.011	0.102	0.430
38	Senegal	0.980	0.369	0.419	0.394	0.472	0.527	0.192	0.042	0.221	0.132	0.515
39	Seychelles	0.118	0.143	0.511	0.588	0.567	0.385	0.720	0.639	0.916	0.778	1.000
40	Sierra Leone	0.471	0.622	0.374	0.756	0.421	0.529	0.783	0.091	0.021	0.056	0.157
41	South Africa	0.020	0.058	0.622	0.230	0.664	0.319	0.131	0.358	0.905	0.632	0.757
42	South Sudan	0.529	0.058	0.085	0.956	0.196	0.365	0.261	0.130	0.011	0.070	0.113
43	Tanzania	0.333	0.625	0.092	0.507	0.338	0.379	0.316	0.056	0.026	0.041	0.299
44	Togo	0.275	0.721	0.674	0.512	0.417	0.520	0.347	0.127	0.132	0.129	0.161
45	Uganda	0.294	0.058	0.085	0.536	0.238	0.242	0.351	0.374	0.053	0.213	0.185
46	Zambia	0.373	0.058	0.085	0.540	0.435	0.298	0.299	0.032	0.111	0.071	0.264
47	Zimbabwe	0.176	0.653	0.085	0.251	0.322	0.298	0.183	0.146	0.305	0.226	0.362

3. Deriving the risk of exposure, and vulnerability adjustment factor

Deriving the Risk of Exposure

The Risk of Exposure was based on interacting the gathering factor, distribution factor, hygiene factor and weather factor. We assumed some interaction between gathering and distribution factors which the product of the interaction will have an add on effect from the weather factor and interact with the hygiene factor as follows.

$$RoE = \frac{[(Gathering \, factor \, X \, Distribution \, factor) + Weather \, factor)]}{2} X \, \, hygiene \, factor$$

For example, as shown above, Kenya's gathering factor was computed as 0.222 and the distribution factor being 0.153. Also, from supplementary table 2, the weather factor (normalised inverse of precipitation) for Kenya is 0.174 and 29 out of 100 people have access at least basic sanitation.

Therefore, $RoE = ((0.222 \times 0.153) + 0.174)/2 \times 0.291 = 0.0302$.

This computation was done for all countries to derive the risk of exposure reported, with the results shown in supplementary table 3.

Supplementary table 3: Derived Risk of Exposure

	Country	Gathering factor value	Weather factor value	Distribution factor value	Hygiene factor value	Consolidated risk of exposure
1	Algeria	0.425	0.332	0.377	0.876	0.216
2	Angola	0.504	0.296	0.091	0.499	0.085
3	Benin	0.552	0.305	0.104	0.165	0.030
4	Botswana	0.387	0.105	0.468	0.165	0.024
5	Burkina Faso	0.469	0.212	0.049	0.194	0.023
6	Burundi	0.413	0.381	0.511	0.458	0.136
7	Cabo Verde	0.490	0.045	0.357	0.739	0.081
8	Cameroon	0.501	0.487	0.035	0.391	0.099
9	Central African Republic	0.569	0.403	0.015	0.253	0.052
10	Chad	0.347	0.075	0.020	0.083	0.003
11	Comoros	0.500	0.261	0.218	0.359	0.066
12	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.445	0.467	0.080	0.205	0.051
13	Congo, Rep.	0.299	0.500	0.080	0.202	0.053
14	Cote d'Ivoire	0.509	0.405	0.177	0.321	0.080
15	Equatorial Guinea	0.353	0.664	0.059	0.663	0.227
16	Eritrea	0.336	0.095	0.035	0.119	0.006
17	Eswatini	0.325	0.225	0.289	0.584	0.093
18	Ethiopia	0.273	0.244	0.050	0.073	0.009
19	Gabon	0.317	0.560	0.042	0.474	0.136
20	Gambia, The	0.500	0.240	0.120	0.392	0.059
21	Ghana	0.436	0.353	0.218	0.185	0.041
22	Guinea	0.344	0.502	0.060	0.227	0.059
23	Guinea-Bissau	0.476	0.478	0.117	0.205	0.055
24	Kenya	0.222	0.174	0.153	0.291	0.030
25	Lesotho	0.249	0.225	0.059	0.428	0.051
26	Liberia	0.333	0.740	0.063	0.170	0.065

8 | Page

	Country	Gathering factor value	Weather factor value	Distribution factor value	Hygiene factor value	Consolidated risk of exposure
27	Madagascar	0.482	0.458	0.076	0.105	0.026
28	Malawi	0.478	0.351	0.063	0.262	0.050
29	Mali	0.418	0.062	0.032	0.393	0.015
30	Mauritania	0.523	0.001	0.024	0.484	0.003
31	Mauritius	0.444	0.627	0.759	0.955	0.461
32	Mozambique	0.479	0.303	0.043	0.294	0.048
33	Namibia	0.380	0.063	0.289	0.345	0.030
34	Niger	0.349	0.020	0.017	0.136	0.002
35	Nigeria	0.317	0.341	0.225	0.392	0.081
36	Rwanda	0.388	0.361	0.063	0.666	0.128
37	Sao Tome and Principe	0.562	1.000	0.102	0.430	0.227
38	Senegal	0.527	0.192	0.132	0.515	0.067
39	Seychelles	0.385	0.720	0.778	1.000	0.510
40	Sierra Leone	0.529	0.783	0.056	0.157	0.064
41	South Africa	0.319	0.131	0.632	0.757	0.126
42	South Sudan	0.365	0.261	0.070	0.113	0.016
43	Tanzania	0.379	0.316	0.041	0.299	0.050
44	Togo	0.520	0.347	0.129	0.161	0.033
45	Uganda	0.242	0.351	0.213	0.185	0.037
46	Zambia	0.298	0.299	0.071	0.264	0.042
47	Zimbabwe	0.298	0.183	0.226	0.362	0.045

Adjusting for vulnerability

As shown in the emerging literature, certain risk factors are associated with adverse outcomes when one is infected with SARS-COV-2. Mainly based on data availability for all the countries, the following variables were used as proxies: diabetes prevalence rate (%) as proxy for non-communicable diseases, HIV prevalence rate (%) as proxy communicable diseases and proportion of population who are 65 years or more as a measure for age group at higher risk. The proxy variables for communicable and non-communicable diseases were assumed to contribute in an interactive way with an add on contribution from ageing. All the indicators for the vulnerability adjustment factor are of the same unit – proportions – and so were not normalized.

 $Vulnerability\ adjustment = ((diabetes\ prevalence\ x\ HIV\ Prevalence) + \%\ of\ pop\ 65\ yrs\ +))/2$

The vulnerability adjustment factor was used to adjust the probability of deaths when one is infected with SARS-COV-2. Using Kenya as an example, the country has 3.1% prevalence of diabetes, 4.7% of HIV prevalence while 2.7% of the population are 65 years or older. The vulnerability adjustment factor for Kenya was derived as: $((0.031 \times 0.047) + 0.0267)/2 = 0.014$. Thus, we assumed that Kenya may experience at least 1.4% higher mortality rate due to this vulnerability. As shown in supplementary table 4, the process as repeated for all countries.

Supplementary table 4: Derived vulnerability adjustment factor

		Population ages 65	HIV Prevalence		Vulnerability
	Country	and above (% of	(%population ages	Diabetes	adjustment
		total)	15-49)	Prevalence	factor
1	Algeria	6.211	0.05	0.07	0.031
2	Angola	2.405	2.00	0.05	0.012
3	Benin	3.244	1.00	0.01	0.016
4	Botswana	3.941	20.30	0.06	0.026
5	Burkina Faso	2.409	0.70	0.07	0.012
6	Burundi	2.562	1.00	0.05	0.013
7	Cabo Verde	4.460	0.60	0.02	0.022
8	Cameroon	3.165	3.60	0.06	0.017
9	Central African Republic	3.655	3.60	0.06	0.019
10	Chad	2.486	1.30	0.06	0.013
11	Comoros	2.893	0.10	0.12	0.015
12	Congo, Dem. Rep.	3.020	0.80	0.06	0.015
13	Congo, Rep.	3.402	2.60	0.06	0.018
14	Cote d'Ivoire	2.933	2.60	0.02	0.015
15	Equatorial Guinea	2.846	7.10	0.06	0.016
16	Eritrea	2.846	0.70	0.05	0.014
17	Eswatini	3.163	27.30	0.05	0.022
18	Ethiopia	3.526	1.00	0.04	0.018
19	Gabon	4.450	3.80	0.06	0.023
20	Gambia, The	2.339	1.90	0.02	0.012
21	Ghana	3.385	1.70	0.03	0.017
22	Guinea	3.135	1.40	0.02	0.016
23	Guinea-Bissau	3.002	3.50	0.02	0.015
24	Kenya	2.686	4.70	0.03	0.014
25	Lesotho	4.506	23.60	0.05	0.028
26	Liberia	3.057	1.30	0.02	0.015
27	Madagascar	2.929	0.30	0.05	0.015
28	Malawi	3.552	9.20	0.05	0.020
29	Mali	3.158	1.40	0.02	0.016
30	Mauritania	10.945	0.20	0.07	0.055
31	Mauritius	2.979	1.30	0.22	0.016
32	Mozambique	3.138	12.60	0.03	0.018
33	Namibia	9.954	11.80	0.05	0.052
34	Niger	2.553	0.30	0.02	0.013
35	Nigeria	2.751	1.50	0.03	0.014
36	Rwanda	2.974	2.50	0.05	0.016
37	Sao Tome and Principe	2.886	0.05	0.03	0.014
38	Senegal	3.008	0.40	0.02	0.015
39	Seychelles	8.606	0.05	0.12	0.043
40	Sierra Leone	2.538	1.50	0.12	0.013
41	South Africa	5.344	20.40	0.02	0.040
42	South Sudan	3.441	2.50	0.13	0.018
43	Tanzania	3.108	4.60	0.10	0.017
44	Togo	2.839	2.30	0.00	0.017
45	Uganda	2.168	5.70	0.02	0.012
46	Zambia	2.480	11.30	0.05	0.012
46	Zimbabwe	+			0.015
4/	TITINGDME	2.822	12.70	0.02	0.013

4. Applying the model

As described in figure 2 of the main paper, the number of people in a country that will be exposed to the virus at any given time if widespread community transmission is established is determined by the risk of exposure. Thus, it is applied to the whole population of a given country or territory and the resulting population is then considered the one exposed to the virus.

Exposed population at the initial cycle = National population X Risk of Exposure

For instance, Kenya's population of 51,393,010 according to the UN population division and the risk of exposure of 3.02% (as calculated above) in the absence of non-pharmaceutical interventions that limits exposure, about 1,552,069 people may be exposed to the virus at the initial cycle of the model.

From the point of exposure throughout the incubation period, the exposed persons faces a risk of getting infected depending on the attach rate of the virus. As shown in table 1 in the main paper, an average attach rate of 6.5% was used in the base model.

 $Number\ infected = (Exposed\ population\ X\ Attack\ Rate)$

With the Kenya's example, number infected at the initial cycle = $1,552,069 \times 6.5\% = 100,951$. In the subsequent cycle, these infected ones are excluded from the number at risk.

Once infected, individuals depending on their vulnerabilities faces a risk of having asymptomatic, mild, moderate, severe or critical form of the infection. The distribution of the total infected persons at any given cycle to these states are driven by the transition probabilities described in the main paper. These probabilities are adjusted for those who get the severe or critical form of the infection by the vulnerability adjustment factor to reflect its influence on the numbers of persons having this transition state.

The model was designed to run a weekly cycle for a duration of 52 weeks. Thus, the computation described was repeated for the horizon of the model and the aggregated output reported.

5. Issues for further methodological exploration

We explored several analytical approaches, many of which were hampered by data challenges. However, the results we got are comparable with what is seen in the countries, such as the lower rate of transmission, lower severe disease and lower death rates. Additionally, in sensitivity analysis we explored several the impact of the assumptions and variables on the results which we found that the results of the sensitivity analysis was mostly within our best and worst case scenarios. However, the following areas would benefit from more extensive exploration if data is more available.

- 1. Indicators constituting the Risk of Exposure. Although its results are comparable with what is seen in the region, the data challenges limited the applied indicators. Where there is more data, it would be worth exploring additional indicators. For example, the UV index to complement the weather factor.
- 2. Methods of interaction of the factors constituting the risk of exposure. We recognize there are different ways the factors can interact to get the risk of exposure. We explored using reported cases to work backwards and predict the mechanism of interaction, but the under-reporting due to different testing strategies make it difficult to assume current cases represent the full picture of the outbreak. We therefore interacted them as represented based on our knowledge of factors influencing transmission of infectious diseases. With data from sero-epidemiological studies in the countries, this can be further explored.
- 3. Transition probabilities would best be derived from the population of a country as compared to other countries. This may reduce the need for the risk of exposure and vulnerability index. However, as the African Countries included were all in the early stages of their outbreaks, we had to apply probabilities derived from other countries, necessitating the adjustments. As the outbreak progresses in the region, it would be worthwhile exploring context-specific estimates of these probabilities.

The tool from which country specific results are detailed is available in each of the 47 countries of the WHO African Region, through the office of the WHO Representative. The probabilities used are constantly updated to reflect evolving knowledge about the SARS-CoV-2. For this publication, the version 1.3 was used.